

[2nd November 1931]

APPENDIX III.

[Vide answer to question No. 458 asked by Rao Sahib B. Venkataramayya at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd November 1931, page 348 supra.]

Comparative statement of suits and appeals in the High Court and Subordinate Civil Courts in the Presidency.

HIGH COURT.

Suits.			Appeals.		
1929.	1930.	1931.	1929.	1930.	1931.
154	169	185	920	966	871

SUBORDINATE CIVIL COURTS.

Suits.					
1929.		1930.		1931.	
O.S.	S.C.	O.S.	S.C.	O.S.	S.C.
28,370	48,359	25,876	48,756	22,261	59,906
Appeals.					
Appeals.	C.M. As.	Appeals.	C.M. As.	Appeals.	C.M. As.
2,986	36	2,871	34	2,489	39

APPENDIX IV.

[Vide answer to question No. 475 asked by Pandit Ganala Ramamurti at the meeting of the Legislative Council held on the 2nd November 1931, page 358 supra.]

* 1747 Q.—MR. A. HARISCHANDRUDU NAYUDU: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) the loss of revenue incurred by the Government every year during the last five years on account of the silting up of the bed of the Godavari river in the neighbourhood of the Godavari railway bridge;

(b) whether any attempts have been made to remove the sand thus deposited; and, if so, when and with what result; and

(c) whether there is any correspondence between this Government and the Government of India regarding the Godavari railway bridge and its effect on the irrigation of the delta; and, if so, whether a copy of it can be placed on the table of the House?